Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/534,637	OAKLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andriae M. Holt	1616
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Andriae M. Holt</u> .	(3)	
(2) Andrew D. Meikle, Attorney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 June 2010	Time: <u>11:00 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
The examiner contacted Mr. Meikle to discuss amendments to the amendments were directed to claims 7, 11, 12, and 14. The amendments were directed to claims 7, 11, 12, and 14. The amendments were directed to claims 7, 11, 12, and 14. The amendments were directly the term "I and inserting the term "synergistic" after the term "A" in line 1 of Applicant. 6/23/2010-Mr. Meikle gave authorization to execute a including inserting the term "synergistic" after the term "A" in line	endments to claims 7 and 12 cons ingredient" and inserting the term ' f claim 12. Mr. Meikle will contact to an examiner's amendment to amer	sisted of inserting "of the formula" "compound" in claims 11 and 14; he examiner after speaking with
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Mina Haghighatian/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)